



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/824,837 | 04/02/2001 | Amit Phadnis | CSCO-004/95507 | 1175 |
| 26392 | 2 7590 10/04/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| | A R. THAPPETA | VINCENT, DAVID ROBERT | | |
| LANDON & STARK ASSOCIATES, ONE CRYSTAL PARK SUITE 210, 2011 CRYSTAL DRIVE | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ARLINGTO | N, VA 22202 | 2661 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/824,837 | PHADNIS ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | David R Vincent | 2661 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the | e correspondence address | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDO | timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Infor | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other: | Date I Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Art Unit: 2661

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Troxel (US 6,185,210) in view of Kohzuki (US 2003/0007455 A1).

Providing different QoS to different Layer-3 datagrams (e.g., IP packets being sent over ATM VCs and using bilevel routers, cols. 1-2, especially col. 2, lines 1-6), using a backbone (e.g., point to point ATM network over fibers, col. 4, lines 54-59), provisioning a tunnel (col. 10, lines 35-49; tunnel reads on merely encapsulating into a lower layer protocol for transmission, and clearly reads on IP over ATM, point to point ATM network over fibers, col. 4, lines 54-59), examining datagram headers (reading IP headers to determine how to route the packet, where to set up the P-to-P VC and what the priority is, cols. 2-3; col. 6, lines 5-10), forming at least one packet (ATM cells are fixed length packets and more than one is created

Art Unit: 2661

for each encapsulated IP datagram) in the first network device by encapsulating (IP over ATM/IPoA, col. 5, lines 16-26; col. 11), said packet includes a header to provide QoS (ATM fixed length packets/cells, use QoS parameters in e.g., the Q.2931 call setup information element fields and then use the VPI/VCI label to indicate QoS, col. 12; cols. 9-10, especially col. 10, lines 63-67), layer-3 datagrams receive different QoS based on the corresponding datagram headers (using e.g. the TOS field in the IP packet header), using UDP or IP packets (e.g., col. 5) containing a marker, TOS or precedence field (IP uses a TOS field as part of IPv4; col. 17, lines 59-64), copying TOS or precedence bits in said datagram (col. 8, lines 9-14; col. 11, lines 24-32), P-to-P sessions (col. 4, lines 54-59; col. 9, lines 6-17; col. 9), a table (col. 12, lines 55-65and default QoS (col. 20, lines 66-67), a NAS or gateway (col. 16, lines 23-34; also "gateway" reads on any forwarding device including a switch or router, col. 2), a plurality of VCs (col. 9, lines 6-67; ATM uses a plurality of VC in a VP hence the phrase VCI/VPI; col. 4, lines 54-59; col. 5, lines 31-39), a memory (reads on e.g., output buffer, col. 16, lines 45-67), marker accesses memory (indications that force output data into high or low priority buffers, e.g., Figs. 9-11 and respective disclosure), and using instructions and processors (processors that control

Art Unit: 2661

the bilevel routers, gateways, and the ATM switches, e.g., col. 12, lines 3-65). However, although Troxel discloses observing packet priority levels (col. 2, lines 28-34), conveying IP datagrams to various subsets of bilevel routers (col. 9, lines 27-35), and using RSVP with IP over ATM, and QoS parameters for VCs (col. 13, lines 1-9) Troxel fails to make it clear that layer-3 datagrams receive different QoS based on the corresponding datagram headers (e.g., by using the TOS field).

Kohzuki teaches that IP can be tunneled using ATM (sections 28, 65), that VCs can be in bundles (section 19), and that priority can be set/determined by using the TOS field (e.g., sections 168-199, especially 176, 178, 188-189, 199).

It would have been obvious to combine the references because Troxel discloses that the TOS byte could be used for QoS (Troxel: col. 20, lines 66-67). Doing so would allow for more than two levels of priority to be used (Troxel: Figs. 9-11).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David R Vincent whose telephone number is 571 272 3080. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on 571 272 3078. The fax phone number for the

Application/Control Number: 09/824,837 Page 5

Art Unit: 2661

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through. Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David R Vincent Primary Examiner Art Unit 2661

September 27, 2004